

MDL Privilege Number	Production Beg Bates	Date	Custodian	Author	Recipient	CC	Description	Privilege Claim	PEC's Challenge
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5472		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5473		11/4/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5474		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5475		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5476		11/5/2016	Weissman, G.	Cardinal Health			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Specific author not identified. No recipients identified. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5477		12/28/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5478		12/29/2016	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5479		1/5/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Edits to draft W. Va. AG press release re settlement of litigation, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5480		1/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5481		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5482		1/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Distributor talking points on opioid abuse prepared for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5491		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5492		8/24/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5493		9/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed on distributor role in the supply chain prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5494		9/26/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft messages and talking points for Hill meetings relating to opioids, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5498		10/14/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft internal messaging regarding recent media coverage of distributors and the opioid crisis, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5503		11/4/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft Op-Ed prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel in connection with 60 minutes coverage	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5504		11/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft PowerPoint presentation analyzing Washington Post/60 Minutes claims regarding lobbying expenses, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5506		11/7/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5508		11/8/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft package of media materials prepared for the board of directors at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5514		11/9/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft presentation to the board of directors prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5519		11/28/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5520		11/29/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5521		11/30/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5525		12/6/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5526		12/12/2017	Weissman, G.	Weissman, Gabriel			Draft press release prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	No recipients identified. Distribution not identified. Attorney involvement is not apparent and no specific attorney identified. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5528		2/6/2018	Weissman, G.	Reservoir Communications	Healthcare Distribution Alliance		Draft talking points and key messaging document relating to opioid litigation and media scrutiny, prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for review by counsel	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Specific author not identified. Specific recipients not identified. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5557		3/22/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		Email among client employees and counsel discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5558		4/20/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (E. Campbell/Esq.) regarding overview of the severity of the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5566		5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; May, David; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5568		5/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	May, David		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5570		5/23/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter; Mays, Steve; Ross, Paul; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to opioid policy steering committee.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5571		5/30/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Nightengale, Brian; Weissman, Gabriel; Lane, Christine	Moyer, Lauren	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid media reports.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5579		8/16/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Nightengale, Brian	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5584		8/29/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5588		8/31/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5593		9/5/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5598		9/14/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of HDA recommendations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5599		9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email with attachment among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Policy Committee meeting agenda.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5600		9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Heller, Stacie		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding draft statement on the healthcare supply chain.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5601		9/18/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding analysis of media updates.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5608		9/27/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Lorenz-Fisher, Susan	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Laepple Hertzog, Kate; DiCicco, Diane; Mitchell, Beth; Tallamy, Brad; Weissman, Gabriel	Zonia, Theresa/Tierney	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opioid workshop.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5611		11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David	Mattox, Keri; Gunning, Francesca; Murphy, Bennett	Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding review of draft opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5612		11/11/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5615		11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Suter, June; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Romero, Lauren; Sauerhaft, Roger/Slone PR; Zimmerman, Chris; Gunning, Francesca; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Brandt, Darren/Slone PR; Norton, Rita; May, David; Parker, John/HDA; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Webb, Matthew; Chou, John/Esq.; Traynor, Kate/Sloane PR; Zacchei, Dan/Sloane PR; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.		Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel and trade group seeking legal advice regarding presentation on the industry response to the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5616		11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Gaddes, Kathy		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding ABC opioid policy press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5617		11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel regarding opioid strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5618		11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Mauch, Robert		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding ABC opioid policy press release.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5619		11/13/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding strategy for conversation in response to opioid abuse.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5626		11/28/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding draft statement on opioid operations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5628		11/28/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Email with attachment among client employees discussing public affairs strategy prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding draft statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege calim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5632		11/29/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Email with attachments among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of educational programs to prevent opioid abuse.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5641		12/7/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachment among client employees discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the direction of ABC Legal department.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5642		12/8/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5643		12/8/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley; Norton, Rita		Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5652		12/17/2017	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy	Tallamy, Brad	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5655		1/2/2018	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5656		1/10/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5657		1/10/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5658		1/15/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Meredith, Emily; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Mitchell, Vivienne; Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad		Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5665		1/19/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Feehery, John/EFB Advocacy; Norton, Rita		Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5666		1/24/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5671		1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5672		1/26/2018	Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Tallamy, Brad		Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5675		1/30/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5706		2/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Tallamy, Brad	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5721		2/22/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Lohman, Donald/Esq./Mallinckrodt; Tyndall, Mark/Mallinckrodt; Naten, Derek/Mallinckrodt; Long, Erika/Mallinckrodt	Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5729		2/27/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Mays, Steve; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Mitchell, Beth; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5756		3/15/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5760		3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott; Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing opioid task force slides prepared at the direction of ABC Legal Department.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5761		3/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita	Email between client and consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding Senate hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5791		4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; May, David; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Esposito, Lauren	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft statement to the Washington Post.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5792		4/17/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Scheidt, Scott	Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding opioid policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5810		4/25/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Meredith, Emily; Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client employees discussing talking points prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not aparent. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5855		5/16/2018	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Freitas, Kristen/HDA		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding thoughts on federal suspicious order monitoring legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business and/or regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5869		2/25/2011	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding proposed Ohio legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5870		5/28/2015	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5871		6/3/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie		Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of HDMA counsel (Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA) regarding draft legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5872		6/7/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5873		6/7/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees and consultant discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5874		6/16/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Email from client to consultant discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5877		6/18/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita	Email with attachment from client to consultant discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5884		6/26/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5885		6/26/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees reflecting legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senator Manchin meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5886		6/29/2015	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	Davis, Laura; Tallamy, Brad; Anderson, Mary; Money, Jason		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding DeWine presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5895		7/20/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Mosley, Amy; DiCenso, Frank; Money, Jason; Cincione, K./Vorys; Eddy, Julie; Hobson, David/FIA Advisors		Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding DeWine meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5897		7/29/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, Jeff/RVMB; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; Holsclaw, Brad/TCH Group; Wiley, D./TCH Group; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		Email from client to consultants and outside counsel seeking legal advice regarding DEA recommendations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5898		8/18/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Holsclaw, Brad/TCH Group; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Jenkins, T./Esq./Nossman; Walter, Sarah/Walter Group; Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman; Mortier, J./RMVB	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments from client to outside counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5899		8/19/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from HDMA counsel (Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Rx abuse meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Distribution is not identified. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5901		8/25/2015	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDMA		Email among client employee and consultant discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5905		1/16/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Email from client to consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-related legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5906		1/17/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global		Email from client to consultant discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Quinn, Paul/Esq./Nossaman) regarding opioid-related legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5907		2/1/2016	Norton, Rita	Money, Jason	DiCenso, Frank; Delac, Frank	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	Email with attachment among client employees discussing draft presentation prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding Representative Stivers meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5911		2/11/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie	Anderson, Mary	Email with attachments among client employees discussing HDMA briefing regarding Masters litigation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5913		2/17/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; Hirst, D.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason; Eddy, Julie; Meehan, F.; Davis, Laura	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding executive committee briefing.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5914		2/22/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Money, Jason; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters amicus brief.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5924		4/2/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Lane, C.; May, David; Neu, Dave; Mauch, Robert	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding presidential announcement regarding opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5927		5/3/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding response to Senator Schumer statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5932		7/13/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Hartman, S.	Eddy, Julie; Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.; Heller, Stacie; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding State drug monitoring programs.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5933		8/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	May, David; Zimmerman, Chris	Caroline, David/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mays, Stephen; Tallamy, Brad; Hartman, Sharon	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding opposition to Marino bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5934		8/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Tallamy, Brad	Email among client employees and HDA counsel regarding opposition to Marino bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5935		9/18/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Money, Jason		Email with attachments with attachments prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department for the purpose of providing legal advice re draft Board Materials.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5936		11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5937		11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA response document.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5938		11/4/2016	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft language on DEA reporting system.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. In-house counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5939		11/5/2016	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding HDA messaging.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5940		11/6/2016	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Barry, Ellen/CAH; Morford, Craig/CAH; Schechter, Lori/McKesson	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	Email from in-house counsel to client and distributors providing legal advice regarding opioid messaging.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5941		11/6/2016	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft industry talking points on opioid abuse.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Communication involves a business and/or non-legal matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5942		11/7/2016	Norton, Rita	Chou, John/Esq.	Morford, Craig/CAH; Hunter, Kristin/McKesson; Norton, Rita	Barry, Ellen/CAH; Schechter, Lori/McKesson; Weissman, Gabriel; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Clark, Gina	Email from in-house counsel to client and distributors providing legal advice regarding opioid talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Topic of communication is a business matter, and not a legal one. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5943		1/27/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding legislative efforts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5944		3/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding news article about opioid protest.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5945		3/3/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding statement for press in response to opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5946		3/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Moyer, Lauren	Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth	Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Clark, Gina; DeAtley, Danny/ICS; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding response to media article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5948		3/15/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie	Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel regarding opioid media coverage.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5950		5/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	May, David; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mattox, Keri; Guttman, Tim; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; DeAtley, Danny /ICS; Romero, L.; Putt, Jordan; DiCicco, Diane/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson, Sydney/Tierney	Email from client to in-house counsel and consultants seeking legal advice regarding Energy and Commerce inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5952		6/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Eddy, Julie; Chou, John/Esq.		Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5953		6/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Anderson, Mary		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding board meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5960		6/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5961		6/21/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita	Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5963		6/26/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from outside counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5965		6/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Congressional response.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5966		6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie		Email with attachment among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5967		6/30/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global	O'Sullivan, Ashley/Roberti Global	Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding controlled substance media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5971		7/10/2017	Norton, Rita	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Weissman, Gabriel	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed public affairs campaign.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5976		7/13/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Davis, Laura	Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding CWAG meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5982		8/7/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email with attachments from NACDS Legal providing legal advice regarding opioid workgroup.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5985		8/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding opioid task force meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5986		8/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy	Email with attachment from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding draft editorial on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5987		8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Chou, John/Esq.) regarding draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5988		8/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Moyer, Lauren; Meluso, Ginette; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; McConnell, Kathy/Tierney	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft opinion piece on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5990		8/22/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gansler, Doug/Esq./Buckley Sander		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding suspicious order monitoring systems.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5991		8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding public statements on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. In-house counsel is merely copied on communication. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5992		8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding responses to the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5994		8/23/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5996		8/25/2017	Norton, Rita	Reed, Chuck	Mitchell, B.; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	Reinardy, Joseph/CenterX	Email with attachment among client employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_5997		8/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of letter on solutions to the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5998		8/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding analysis of media statements on the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_5999		8/29/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email among client employees discussing legal advice (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding analysis of media statements on the opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6008		9/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Eddy, Julie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing request for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding review of media coverage of the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6009		9/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; O'Neill, John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tongour, Mike/TCH Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione, Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachment among client employees and consultant discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding communications strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6010		9/8/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Eddy, Julie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Reed, Chuck; Kounelis, Peter	Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6014		9/15/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Tallamy, Brad	Jenkins, Tim/Esq./Nossaman; O'Neill, John/Harbinger; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global; Tongour, Michael/TCH Group; White, Joel/Horizon; Roberti, Vincent/Roberti Global; Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC; Cincione, Karen/Vorys; Niehaus, Thomas/Vorys; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, Beth; Eddy, Julie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees and consultants discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding communications strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6019		9/19/2017	Norton, Rita	Heller, Stacie	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachment among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6020		9/22/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley		Email with attachment among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6024		9/25/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	James, Kevin/US Bio Services	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie	Email among client employees and consultant discussing the need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) regarding policy committee meeting agenda.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6028		9/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding opioid task force.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6029		9/28/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6030		10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6031		10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6032		10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Benske, John	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Email with attachments among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6033		10/2/2017	Norton, Rita	Benske, John	Mitchell, B.	Heller, Stacie; Norton, Rita	Email among client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding government affairs strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Specific attorney not identified and/or attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6034		10/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	White, Joel/Horizon DC; Irizarry, Steven/Roberti Global	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees and consultant discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Senate committee opioid hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6035		10/8/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.; Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie		Email with attachments among client employees discussing legal advice from NACDS counsel (Nicholson, Kevin/NACDS/Esq.) regarding public policy priorities for curbing opioid related dependence, overdose and death.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6039		10/9/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris	Email from client to in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Washington Post article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Counsel is merely copied on communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6040		10/10/2017	Norton, Rita	Romero, Lauren	Weissman, Gabriel; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; Clark, Gina; Moyer, Lauren	Putt, Jordan	Email with attachment from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding proposed controlled substance social media strategy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that communication is not privileged. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6041		10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments among client employees discussing need for legal advice from in-house counsel (Casalenuovo, Chris/Esq.) regarding NACDS policy meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6043		10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Benske, John; Mitchell, Beth	Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing strategy at the direction of in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding proposed opioid crisis social media outreach.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6044		10/11/2017	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Tallamy, Brad; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Benske, John		Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding controlled substance social media policy.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6075		10/17/2017	Norton, Rita	Parker, John/HDA	Weissman, Gabriel; Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simonett, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	Email with attachments among trade group, distributors and client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding draft media response.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6081		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Downs, Raissa/TDY Consulting; Young, Jennifer/TDY Consulting; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Menn, Buddy/Brown Rudnick; Thorsen, Carl/French; French, Alec/French	Email with attachment among client employees, consultants, trade group and distributors seeking legal advice regarding draft letter for elected officials.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6082		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, B.; McGrath, R./CAH; Callinicos, Sean/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	Email with attachment among client employees, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice from the ABC Legal Department regarding change to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6083		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Krusing, Clare/Reservoir	Parker, John/HDA; Weissman, Gabriel; Barry, Ellen/CAH; Chasen, Kristin/McKesson; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Nelson, Jennifer/McKesson	Miller, Ruth/HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Simonett, Farah/HDA DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Krusing, Clare/Reservoir; Schooling, Robert/Reservoir; Ward, Mallory/Reservoir	Email with attachment among consultant, outside counsel, client employees, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice regarding revised language for Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6091		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email among trade group, distributors and client employees discussing information prepared at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding response to letter from elected official.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6092		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Simpson, Al/Mercury, LLC	Norton, Rita		Email with attachments from consultant to client discussing need for legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6093		10/18/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad		Email among client employees discussing need for legal advice from outside counsel (Graefe, Frederick/Esq./Graefe Law) regarding proposed changes to Senate bill.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6105		10/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6106		10/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Ganley, Joseph/McKesson	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH	Email with attachment from distributor to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6107		10/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Smith, Chris/HD Smith	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, R./CAH	Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeffrey/Smith Drug; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, R./CAH	Email from client to outside counsel and distributors seeking legal advice regarding draft HDA solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6136		10/24/2017	Norton, Rita	Kelly, Patrick/HDA	Drew, Gregory/Value Drug; Harrison, Hal/Mutual Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Smith, C./HD Smith; Norton, Rita; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson	Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Lavella, Patrick/Value Drug; Kotecha, Kaushik/Smith Drug; Heller, Stacie; Mitchell, B.; Kozyckyj, Roxolana/HDA; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; DiLoreto, Matthew/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA; Gray, John/HDA; Parker, John/HDA; McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Tallamy, Brad; Frank, Richard/Esq./OFW Law; Pahl, T./Esq./OFW Law; Ritter, Pam/HDA	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding HDA practical solutions document.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6189		12/5/2017	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; O'Sullivan, Ashley; Mitchell, B.; Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Brimmer, Molly/CAH	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA	Email among client employees, outside counsel, trade group and distributors discussing need for legal advice regarding strategy for upcoming Congressional hearings.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6209		12/20/2017	Norton, Rita	Mitchell, B.	Slone, Pete/McKesson	Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth; Brimmer, Molly/CAH; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from client to consultant and distributors discussing information at the direction of the ABC Legal Department regarding the Hassan meeting on the opioid crisis.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6232		2/27/2018	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Norton, Rita		Email from trade group to client discussing legal advice sought from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding federal legislation	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6240		3/3/2018	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick; Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Miller, Ruth	Email with attachments from trade group to outside counsel, consultants and distributors seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6241		3/4/2018	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Norton, Rita; Rebecca McGrath, Rebecca/CAH; Slone, Pete; Ganley, Joe/McKesson; Brandewie, Claire/McKesson; Tallamy, Brad; Mitchell, Beth	Downs, Raissa/TDY; Young, Jennifer/TDY; Ivancic, C./TDY; Smith, Pamela/TDY Consulting; Murray, E./TDY; Thorsen, Carl/Thorsen-French; French, Alec/French; Menn, Buddy/Esq./Brown Rudnick	Email from trade group to outside counsel, distributors and consultant seeking legal advice regarding DOJ letter	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6250		3/13/2018	Norton, Rita	Freitas, Kristen/HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; McGrath, R./CAH; Norton, Rita; Tallamy, Brad; Slone, P./McKesson; Ganley, Joe/McKesson	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Cosgrove, Jewelyn/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Email from trade group to counsel and distributors regarding proposed modifications to S. 483.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6252		3/14/2018	Norton, Rita	Reese, Linda	Tallamy, Brad; Norton, Rita; Meehan, Fallon	O'Sullivan, Ashley	Email among client employees discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding feedback for House Ways and Means Committee on solutions to opioid epidemic.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6256		3/15/2018	Norton, Rita	Rosenbloom, Alan/Senior Care Pharmacies	Bronfein, Alan/Remedix; Gay, B. Gaycohealthcare; Mitchell, Beth; Bill Maguire, Bill/OmniCell; Rowland, Bob/Grane RX; Warnock, Robert/Pruitthealth; Weir, Bob Guardian Pharmacy; Scott, Brian/Kinneydrugs; Brian Kramer, Brian/Forumpharmacy; Lewis, Dave/Consonus; Farber, David/Esq./KS Law; Towns, Doug/Guardian pharmacy; Nishi, Karen/Cubexsystem; Garza, Kim/Innovatix; Avdiu, Labi; Connor, Lynn/SCP-Rx; Ludlow, Mary/Wopharmacy; Templin, Michelle/MHA; Brattain, Patty/PharMerica; McKeon, Rich/McKesson; Miller, Rick; Norton, Rita; Hancock, Scott/PropacPayless Pharmacy; Thayer, Scott; Siegel, Shara/Innovatix; Rhodus, Susan/GeriMed; Voelkl, Todd/Bufalo Pharmacies; Caneris, Tom/PharMerica; Galletta, Vince/Bufalo Pharmacies		Email with attachment from pharmacy to outside counsel and client seeking legal advice regarding opioid legislation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6329		5/2/2018	Norton, Rita	Norton, Rita	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDA	Callinicos, Sean/CAH; Slone, Pete/McKesson; Kelly, Patrick/HDA; Freitas, Kristen/HDA; Miller, Ruth/HDA	Email from client to outside counsel, distributors and trade group seeking legal advice regarding Energy and Commerce Committee hearing.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Common Interest	Large distribution indicates lack of privilege. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Attorney involvement is indirect and/or not apparent. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6376		4/23/2010	Due Diligence Files	Tomkiewicz, Joe	Hazewski, Edward		Email reflecting legal advice sought from counsel (Johnson, Scott/Esq.; Chou, John/Esq.) regarding Acme #30 drug diversion investigation.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6377		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				Draft communication attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	No author identified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6378		6/24/2013	Due Diligence Files				Draft talking points attached to privileged email seeking legal advice from counsel (Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.) regarding low volume, high risk accounts.	Attorney-Client Privilege	No author identified. No recipients identified. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6392	ABDCMDL00037421	12/16/2009	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)			Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq. - VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6393	ABDCMDL00037421	11/8/2010	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq. - VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6394	ABDCMDL00037421	1/6/2011	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Manager - CSRA II)	Debra Swartz, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Paul Ross (Director - Regulatory Affairs)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Debra Swartz, Esq. - VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6395	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)		Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6396	ABDCMDL00037421	11/26/2012	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6397	ABDCMDL00037421	8/9/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Mary Fox, Esq. (VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (Assistant General Counsel)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Mary Fox, Esq. - VP - Deputy General/Group Counsel; Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - Assistant General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6398	ABDCMDL00037421	11/1/2013	AmerisourceBergen	Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel); Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer)	Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); Joe Tomkiewicz (Corporate Investigator I); Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Response to report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6399	ABDCMDL00037421	7/27/2014	AmerisourceBergen	Michael Mapes (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (VP & Associate General Counsel);	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations); Ed Hazewski (Director - Diversion Control & Security)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - VP & Associate General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6400	ABDCMDL00037421	1/31/2017	AmerisourceBergen	Brian Reise (CSRA DEA Consultant)	Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. (SVP - Group General Counsel)	Chris Zimmerman (VP - CSRA & Chief Compliance Officer); Steve Mays (Director - Regulatory Affairs); David May (Sr. Director - Diversion Control & Federal Investigations)	Report prepared at the direction of counsel (Elizabeth Campbell, Esq. - SVP - Group General Counsel) providing information to assist with the rendering of legal advice re Order Monitoring Program Review.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject of communication involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6406		1/11/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Collis, Steve; Neu, Dave	Chou, John/Esq.; Howell, Peyton; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; Caffentzis, Anthony; Guttman, Tim	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding NABP consensus statement.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6411		9/23/2014	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Bennett, Bruce		Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6419		5/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Ball, Chuck; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Miller, Mike; Williamson, Joe; Garland, Mike; Fisher, Marian	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6436		6/22/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Altrogge, Jeannine; Collis, Steve; Marsh, Lawrence; Guttman, Tim; Barry, June; Clark, Gina; Danilewitz, Dale; Bausinger, Vicki/Legal Department; Zimmerman, Chris; Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Fox, Mary/Esq.; Gaddes, Kathy; Stone, Rob/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Davey, Vanessa; Ouchley, Jeremy/Esq.; Krikorian, Laz; Nguyen, Thanh; Mauch, Robert; Frary, James; Howell, Peyton; Senior, David		Email with attachments from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding CSRA FY16 Plan.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6437		6/22/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Brungess, Barbara		Email from client discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding HDMA Executive Committee Meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6443		7/8/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mansfield, Denise	DeMartino, John; Byrne, Thomas; Mauch, Robert; Cherveney, Eric; Ball, Chuck; Gaal, Greg; Caffentzis, Anthony; Wetzel, Tim; Jackson, Jim; Senior, David; Lightfoot, Emily; Miller, Mike; Zimmerman, Chris; Wiggs, John; Caroline, David/Esq.; Manning, Kathie; Greenhall, Richard/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette; Mays, Steve; Chou, John/Esq.; May, David; Winter, Matthew; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Bennett, Bruce; Cox, Kate; Duncan, Francine; Hendrickson, Stephen; Bak, Hyung/Esq.		Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding PMO.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6449		7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Brungess, Barbara; Mauch, Robert	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Email from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding HDMA public relations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6453		7/20/2015	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Meehan, Fallon	Email from client discussing legal advice from in-counsel (Bak, Hyung/Esq.) regarding HDMA public relations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6454		7/24/2015	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Brown, Greg; Olmo, Marisol	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6455		7/31/2015	Mauch, Robert	Taraschi, Anthony	Fox, Mary/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Cook, Brent; Walker, Jeff	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6457		8/18/2015	Mauch, Robert	Droz, Antonio	Mauch, Robert		Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel (Fox, Mary/Esq.) discussing legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6466		1/18/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA; Smith, Dale/HD Smith; Moody, David/Mutual Drug; Giacomini, Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Walchirk, Mark/McKesson; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug	Voeller, Jan/Dak Drug; Gabay, Kim/Cardinal; Mariano, Karleen/McKesson; Meehan, Fallon; Tara Conway, Tara; Watson, Jessica/Mutual Drug	Email from client discussing legal advice from in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6473		4/4/2016	Mauch, Robert	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HD MA	Gallenagh, Elizabeth/Esq./HDMA	Gray, John/HDMA	Email with attachments from in-house counsel to client providing legal advice regarding Masters Pharmaceuticals case.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6474		4/29/2016	Mauch, Robert	Gray, John/HDMA	Thomas, Al/Sanofi Pasteur; Mauch, Robert; Boyter, Dawn/Glasgow KY; Gielen, Chad/LWDRX; Phillips, Chip/Andanet; Smith, Dale; MacFarlane, Dave/SeaCoast Medical; Ferraro, Louis/Henry Schien; Gray, John/HDMA; Drew, Greg/Value Drug; Foreman, Jeff/Smith Drug; Johnston, Gayle/Cura Script; Giacomini, Jon/Cardinal; Couch, Ken; Lazich, Sam/DMS Pharma; Rodriguez, Lenny/Attain Med; Burns, Maria/Burlington Drug; Walchirk, Mark/McKesson; Smith, Mary/RS Northeast; Faul, Michael/Miami Luken; Dickson, Paul; Rodriguez, Raul/Drogueria Betances; Richards, GK; Scherr, Ted/Dak Drug; Schoen, Chris/Prescription Supply; Franklin, Scott/Toprx; Shikany, Walter/Health Coalition; Smock, Nick/PBA Health; Szewc, Todd/Keysource Medical		Email attaching memorandum from outside counsel (Hyman Phelps and McNamara) discussing legal advice regarding Public Law 114-145 (S.483).	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6481		5/27/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Moyer, Lauren	May, David; Weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Charleston Gazette Mail article.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6482		5/31/2016	Mauch, Robert	Moyer, Lauren	Clark, Gina; May, David; Weissman, Gabriel; Brungess, Barbara; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita		Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Lexington Herald Leader article.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6486		6/16/2016	Mauch, Robert	Altrogge, Jeannine	Hua, Wen; Collis, Steve; Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita; Davis, Laura; Peters, Michael; Barry, June; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Danilewitz, Dale	Krikorian, Laz; Senior, David; Frary, James; Morales, Albert; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; Marsh, Lawrence; Mauch, Robert	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government Affairs presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6490		6/22/2016	Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Brungess, Barbara	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Weissman, Gabriel; Moyer, Lauren; May, David; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Attorney involvement appears indirect. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Description is overly vague. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6500		6/30/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Delac, Frank; DiCenso, Frank; Hamilton, Greg; Taraschi, Anthony; Ford, Stephanie	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6504		8/11/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Delac, Frank; Novak, Dawn; Taraschi, Anthony; Strelow, Nancy	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6506		9/12/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Schmidt, Andrew; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; McGuire, Shawn; Jessee, John; Grennon, Christy	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6518		10/27/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chrisman, Kelly	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Collis, Steve; Danilewitz, Dale; Frary, James; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Howell, Peyton; Cleary, Jim; Mauch, Robert; Park, Sun	Norton, Rita	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding Government Affairs presentation.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6519		10/27/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Norton, Rita; May, David; Brungess, Barbara; Clark, Gina; Zimmerman, Chris; Chou, John/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Mauch, Robert; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad		Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding media inquiry.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6526		11/1/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Howell, Peyton; Zimmerman, Chris; Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6527		11/1/2016	Mauch, Robert	Howell, Peyton	Weissman, Gabriel	Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad; Pellicano, Debora; Moyer, Lauren	Email with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6528		11/5/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6529		11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Email from in-house counsel to client discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6530		11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6531		11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6532		11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Weissman, Gabriel; Norton, Rita; Clark, Gina; Mauch, Robert	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6533		11/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6534		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Barry, Ellen/Cardinal; Morford, Craig/Cardinal; Schechter, Lori/McKesson; Weissman, Gabriel; Hunter, Kristin/McKesson; Callinicos, Sean/Cardinal; Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Third party involvement destroys privilege. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6535		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6536		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Norton, Rita; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6537		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Clark, Gina; Weissman, Gabriel; Chou, John/Esq.	Zimmerman, Chris; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; May, David; Mauch, Robert	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6538		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Collis, Steve; Guttman, Tim; Chou, John/Esq.; Danilewitz, Dale; Howell, Peyton; Park, Sun; Mauch, Robert; Frary, James; Cleary, Jim; Gaddes, Kathy	Clark, Gina	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6539		11/7/2016	Mauch, Robert	Chou, John/Esq.	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina; Norton, Rita	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding HDA industry talking points.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6540		11/14/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Terrien, Tracy; Pszczolkowski, Andrew; Shute, Lorna	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6545		11/21/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Miller, Mike; Wilson, Bill; Stavich, John; Neipp, Peter; Vilums, Brianne	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6546		11/29/2016	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Lawlor, Michael; Maniaci, Philip; Price, William; Taraschi, Anthony; Hinman, Julie	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6547		12/6/2016	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Ball, Chuck		Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6548		12/9/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Norton, Rita; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Moyer, Lauren	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6554		12/22/2016	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Norton, Rita; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny; Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6555		12/23/2016	Mauch, Robert	May, David	Norton, Rita; Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Guttman, Tim; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Putt, Jordan; Romero, Lauren; DeAtley, Danny; Kemper, Jennifer; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6556		1/5/2017	Mauch, Robert	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; May, David; Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6560		1/6/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	May, David; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Meluso, Ginette	Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; Moyer, Lauren; Meehan, Fallon; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding draft WV Op-Ed.	Attorney-Client Privilege; Work Product	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6568		1/12/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Mauch, Robert		Email chain between client and in-house counsel (Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.) discussing legal advice regarding WV Op-Ed.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6569		1/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad	Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6570		1/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6571		1/18/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6576		1/30/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Ball, Chuck; Bennett, Bruce; Rehovsky, Jeffery; Boyd, Roger; Harrison, Andy; Ford, Stephanie	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6581		2/9/2017	Mauch, Robert	Madsen, Greg	Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.	Collis, Steve; Mauch, Robert; Greene, Karen; Zimmerman, Chris; Mays, Steve; Russell, Tiffany; Fray, James; Belden, Peter; Byrum, Stan; Marcum, Cathy; Hackel, Sandy; Vilums, Brianne	Email with attachments from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding CSRA audit of distribution center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6582		2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Clark, Gina	Weissman, Gabriel	Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6583		2/10/2017	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Zimmerman, Chris	Weissman, Gabriel; Mauch, Robert; Chou, John/Esq.; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Pirouz, Korey; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Moyer, Lauren; Murphy, Bennett; Mattox, Keri; May, David; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV media relations.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6592		3/3/2017	Mauch, Robert	Winter, Matthew	Ball, Chuck	Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily; Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Rafferty, George; Senior, David; Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay; Meluso, Ginette	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6593		3/3/2017	Mauch, Robert	Rafferty, George	Mauch, Robert; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Ball, Chuck; Biermaas, Claire; Hendrickson, Stephen; Adams, Jenn; Lightfoot, Emily; Massari, Nathaniel; Mullin, Tom; Nightengale, Brian; Odutola, Akin; Senior, David; Tremonte, Richard; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew	Meluso, Ginette	Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding Board of Directors meeting.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

ABDCMDL_PRIV_6609		3/22/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Guttman, Tim; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Moyer, Lauren; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Clark, Gina; DeAtley, Danny; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David	DiCicco, Diana/Tierney; Hummel, Kristin/Tierney; Dodson, Sydney/Tierney	Email chain with attachments between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding WV controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6632		9/14/2017	Mauch, Robert	Mauch, Robert	Norton, Rita	Weissman, Gabriel; Clark, Gina; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Zimmerman, Chris; Tallamy, Brad	Email from client to in-house counsel seeking legal advice regarding HDA Public Policy Committee.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6649		10/13/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Clark, Gina; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Putt, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrienne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Rafferty, George		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6744		12/17/2017	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel	Combs, Steve; Clark, Gina; Chou, John/Esq.; Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Keri; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Moyer, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrienne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David		Email chain between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.

					Coms, Steve, Clark, Gina, Chou, John/Esq., Mauch, Robert; Gaddes, Kathy; Guttman, Tim; Nightengale, Brian; Howell, Peyton; Tremonte, Richard; Horvath, Erin; Reed, Chuck; Bak, Hyung/Esq.; Mattox, Ker; Campbell, Elizabeth/Esq.; Lorenz-Fisher, Susan; Pirouz, Korey; Esposito, Lauren; Gunning, Francesca; Norton, Rita; Heller, Stacie; Tallamy, Brad; Eddy, Julie; Mitchell, Beth; Webb, Matthew; Schultz, Andrew; DeAtley, Danny; Moore, Karlene; Lane, Christine; Meluso, Ginette; Savoca, Mia; Wall, Kate; Romero, Lauren; Cupo, Jordan; Zimmerman, Chris; May, David; Casalenuovo, Christopher/Esq.; Nachman, Michael/Esq.; Kabosius, Brian/Legal Department; Barrett, Kristy; O'Brien, Adrianne; Dungee, Erin; Kondor, Kristen; Hope, Woody; Mullin, Tom; Greene, Karen; Rafferty, George; Krikorian, Laz; Murphy, Bennett; Biermaas, Claire; Webster, Jay; Winter, Matthew; Scheidt, Scott; Senior, David; Donati, David				
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6757	ABDCMDL00375090	1/30/2018	Mauch, Robert	Weissman, Gabriel			Redacted email between client and in-house counsel discussing legal advice regarding controlled substances media update.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Large distribution indicates that privilege does not apply. Subject involves a business matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6810	ABDCMDL00499696	12/19/2008	AmerisourceBergen	Paul Ross	Chris Zimmerman; Edward Hazewski		Redacted email among clients reflecting communication seeking and providing legal advice of counsel (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding due diligence of prospective client with a Canadian parent.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6817	ABDCMDL00500660	8/11/2004	AmerisourceBergen	Steve Mays	Eric Cherveney	Greg Madsen	Redacted email among clients reflecting communication sent for the purpose of seeking legal advice of counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.) regarding DEA inspection at Corona Distribution Center.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Attorney does not appear to be directly involved in communication. Subject of communication involves a business matter. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6826	ABDCMDL00500874	12/19/2007	AmerisourceBergen				Redacted document reflecting legal advice of counsel (Debbie Swartz, Esq.) regarding customer order.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
ABDCMDL_PRIV_6840	ABDCMDL00501139	12/21/2007	AmerisourceBergen				Redacted document reflecting legal advice of attorney (Scott Johnson, Esq.) regarding CSRA investigation of Sunrise Wholesale.	Attorney-Client Privilege	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.
no privilege id	ABDCMDL00369324	10/10/2017	AmerisourceBergen				Attached	"Privileged"	Subject involves a business/regulatory matter, and not a legal one. Privilege claim is inconsistent w/ prior discovery rulings.